

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/792,364	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHAFFER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Harry S. Hong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Harry S. Hong.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Luke K. Pedersen (Reg. No. 45,003).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 February 2008
**Time:** 3:00 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 16, 30, 40, 50, 54, 57, and 58

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Griffith et al. (US 6,272,347 B1)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*In order to more distinctly claim the invention, Mr. Pedersen agreed to clarify the languages of claims 1, 16, 30, 40, 50, 54, 57, and 58. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)